## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

No. 12-1670
United States of America
Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

## Ronnie L. Hardman

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri - Kansas City

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted: September 18, 2012 Filed: September 28, 2012(Corrected October 1, 2012) [Unpublished]

\_\_\_\_

Before MELLOY, BEAM, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM.

Ronnie Lee Hardman pled guilty to knowingly possessing a firearm after conviction of a felony offense, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). The district

court<sup>1</sup> sentenced him to the mandatory minimum of 180 months' imprisonment under the Armed Career Criminal Act, 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)(1). Hardman appeals, arguing that the district court erred because two of his previous convictions (for selling cocaine to the same detective 20 days apart) were not separate offenses nor "serious drug offenses." This court reviews de novo whether a prior conviction qualifies as an ACCA predicate offense. United States v. Gordon, 557 F.3d 623, 624 (8th Cir. 2009). This court has consistently found that convictions similar to Hardman's support application of the ACCA. See, e.g., United States v. Speakman, 330 F.3d 1080 (8th Cir. 2003) (finding three drug sales to the same informant within a onemonth period were separate ACCA predicate offenses). By Missouri law, Hardman's offenses are serious drug offenses under the ACCA. See 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)(2)(A)(ii) (defining "serious drug offense" as "an offense under State law, involving manufacturing, distributing, or possessing with intent to manufacture or distribute, a controlled substance . . . for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed by law"); Mo. Rev. Stat. §§ 195.211, 558.011.1(2) (2000) (subjecting those convicted of the class B felony of distributing a controlled substance to a maximum sentence of 15 years' imprisonment). Hardman urges this court to reconsider its precedent. This panel cannot; only the court en banc could do so. See United States v. Billue, 576 F.3d 898, 904 (8th Cir. 2009).

The jud	lgment	of the	district	court 1s	affirmed.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable Greg Kays, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.